

1 WO

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Alejandra Ruiz,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Experian Information Solutions
13 Incorporated,

14 Defendant.

No. CV-15-01996-PHX-JAT

ORDER

15 Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulation for a protective order (Doc.
16 27). The parties seek a protective order for anything that contains "confidential
17 commercial or financial information or trade secret information to which they in good
18 faith believe access should be limited to litigation counsel...." Doc. 27-1 at 3.


19 Global protective orders are not appropriate. *See AGA Shareholders, LLC v. CSK*
20 *Auto, Inc.*, 2007 WL 4225450, at *1 (D. Ariz. Nov. 28, 2007). Rule 26(c) requires a party
21 seeking a protective order to show good cause for issuance of such an order. Fed. R. Civ.
22 P. 26(c)(1). "For good cause to exist under Rule 26(c), 'the party seeking protection bears
23 the **burden of showing specific prejudice or harm will result if no protective order is**
24 **granted.**'" *AGA Shareholders*, 2007 WL 4225450, at *1 (emphasis added) (quoting
25 *Phillips v. G.M. Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2002)). The party seeking
26 protection "**must make a 'particularized showing of good cause with respect to [each]**
27 **individual document.**" *Id.* (emphasis added) (quoting *San Jose Mercury News, Inc. v.*
28 *U.S. Dist. Ct.*, 187 F.3d 1096, 1102 (9th Cir. 1999)).

1 Thus, “[t]he burden is on the party to requesting a protective order to demonstrate
2 that (1) the material in question is a trade secret or other confidential information within
3 the scope of Rule 26(c), and (2) disclosure would cause an identifiable, significant harm.”
4 *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1131 (9th Cir. 2003) (quoting
5 *Deford v. Schmid Prods. Co.*, 120 F.R.D. 648, 653 (D. Md. 1987)).

6 In this case, the parties have failed to make a particularized showing justifying a
7 protective order. Accordingly,

8 **IT IS ORDERED** that the stipulation for a protective order (Doc. 27) is denied,
9 without prejudice.

10 Dated this 8th day of April, 2016.

11
12
13
14 
15 James A. Teilborg
16 Senior United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28